

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Case No. 17463US02)

In the Application of:

Theodore Trost et al.

U.S. Serial No.: 09/788,061

Filed: February 16, 2001

For: BLUETOOTH BASEBAND
SOLUTION WITH REDUCED
PROCESSOR REQUIREMENTS AND
INTEGRATED HOST CONTROLLER

Examiner: Eugene Yun

Group Art Unit: 2618

Conf. No.: 5102

Customer No.: 23446

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via EFS-Web to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 6, 2009.

/Michael T. Cruz/

Michael T. Cruz

Reg. No. 44,636

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper responds to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance ("the Examiner's Statement") in the above-identified application and accompanies the issue/publication fee transmittal (part B).

U.S. Application No. 09/788,061, filed February 16, 2001
Attorney Docket No. 17463US02
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance dated May 6, 2009
In Reply to Notice of Allowability mailed March 20, 2009

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner's Statement presents only some of the reasons for allowance of the claims, and that other reasons also exist for allowing the claims such as, for example, those set forth more completely in the record as a whole. This interpretation is consistent with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, which states that any statement of reasons for allowance “[i]s not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and should not be written to specifically or impliedly state all the reasons for allowance are set forth.” M.P.E.P. § 1302.14.

Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner's Statement might imply that the dependent claims are only allowable because they depend from allowed independent claims. However, the Examiner's Statement does not discuss any of the other elements of the claimed subject matter, in particular, those additional elements recited in the dependent claims which may render the dependent claims independently allowable in view of the specification, prosecution file history and/or the documents made of record, either alone or in combination.

Finally, Applicants agree with the Examiner that claims 1, 3-8 and 10-20 are allowable in view of all of the documents made of record, either alone or in combination. However, Applicants do not necessarily agree or disagree with the Examiner's characterization of the documents made of record, either alone or in combination, or the Examiner's characterization of recited claim elements. In closing, Applicants respectfully reserve the right to argue the characterization of the documents of record, either alone or in combination, to argue what is allegedly well known, allegedly obvious or allegedly disclosed, or to argue the characterization of the recited claim elements should that need arise in the future.

If the Examiner has questions, or if Applicants can be of assistance, the Examiner is invited and encouraged to contact Applicants' representative at the below-listed telephone number.

U.S. Application No. 09/788,061, filed February 16, 2001
Attorney Docket No. 17463US02
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance dated May 6, 2009
In Reply to Notice of Allowability mailed March 20, 2009

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, to charge any fee deficiencies or to credit any overpayments to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Dated: May 6, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael T. Cruz/

Michael T. Cruz
Reg. No. 44,636

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Telephone: (312) 775-8084
Facsimile: (312) 775-8100